

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

\_\_\_\_\_  
)  
)  
)  
In the Matter of )  
)  
)

**HornerXpress Worldwide, Inc** )  
)  
)  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

**Case No. 99-15**

**ORDER**

The Office of Antiboycott Compliance, Bureau of Industry and Security, U.S. Department of Commerce (“BIS”), having determined to initiate an administrative proceeding pursuant to Section 11(c) of the Export Administration Act of 1979, as amended (50 U.S.C. §§ 2401-2420 (2000)) (the “Act”)<sup>1</sup> and the Export Administration Regulations (currently codified at 15 C.F.R Parts 730-774 (2007))(the “Regulations”), against HornerXpress Worldwide, Inc (formerly, Horner Discus International, Inc) (“Horner”), a domestic concern resident in the State of Florida, based on allegations set forth in the Proposed Charging Letter, dated July 27, 2007 that alleged that Horner committed four violations of the Regulations;

---

<sup>1</sup> From August 21, 1994 through November 12, 2000, the Act was in lapse. During that period, the President, through Executive Order 12924, which had been extended by successive Presidential Notices, the last of which was August 3, 2000 (3 C.F.R., 2000 Comp. 397 (2001)), continued the Regulations in effect under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. §§ 1701-1706 (2000)) (“IEEPA”). On November 13, 2000, the Act was reauthorized by Pub. L. No. 106-508 (114 Stat. 2360 (2000)) and remained in effect through August 20, 2001. Since August 21, 2001, the Act has been in lapse. Executive Order 13222 of August 17, 2001 (3 C.F.R., 2001 Comp 783 (2002)), which has been extended by successive Presidential Notices, the most recent of which was August 3, 2006 (71 Fed. Reg. 44551 (August 7, 2006)), continues the Regulations in effect under IEEPA.

Specifically, the charges are:

1. *Two Violations of 15 C.F.R. §760.2(d) - Furnishing Information about Business Relationships with Boycotted Countries or Blacklisted Persons:*  
During the period 1997 through 2000, Horner engaged in transaction(s) involving the sale and/or transfer of goods from the United States to Qatar. In connection with these activities, on two occasions, Horner, with intent to comply with, further or support an unsanctioned foreign boycott, furnished information concerning its or another person's business relationships with other persons who are known or believed to be restricted from having any business relationships with or in a boycotting country, an activity prohibited by Section 760.2(d) of the Regulations, and not excepted.
  
2. *Two Violations of 15 C.F.R. §760.5 - Failing to Report the Receipt of a Request to Engage in a Restrictive Trade Practice or Foreign Boycott Against a Country Friendly to the United States:*  
During the period 1999 through 2000, Horner engaged in transaction(s) involving the sale and/or transfer of goods from the United States to Qatar. In connection with these activities, Horner, on two occasions, received a request to take an action which would have the effect of furthering or supporting a restrictive trade practice or unsanctioned foreign boycott. Horner failed to report its receipts of these requests to the Department of Commerce, as directed by Section 760.5 of the Regulations.

BIS and Horner having entered into a Settlement Agreement pursuant to Section 766.18(a) of the Regulations whereby the parties have agreed to settle this matter in accordance with the terms and conditions set forth therein and the terms of the Settlement Agreement having been approved by me;

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

FIRST, a civil penalty of \$ 8,600 is assessed against Horner and shall be paid to the U.S. Department of Commerce in the following manner: \$ 4,300, within 30 days from the date of entry of this Order; and \$ 4,300, within eight months of the date of entry of this Order. Payment of these sums shall be made in the manner specified in the attached instructions.


SECOND, pursuant to the Debt Collections Act of 1982, as amended (31 U.S.C. §§ 3701-3720E (1983 and Supp. 2001)), the civil penalty owed under this Order accrues interest as more fully described in the attached Notice, and, if payment is not made by the due dates specified herein, Horner will be assessed, in addition to the full amount of the penalty and interest, a penalty charge and an administrative charge, as more fully described in the attached Notice.

THIRD, as authorized by Section 11(d) of the Act, the timely payment of the sum of \$ 8,600 is hereby made a condition to the granting, restoration or continuing validity of any export license, permission, or privilege granted, or to be granted, to Horner.

Accordingly, if Horner should fail to pay the civil penalty in a timely manner, the undersigned may enter an Order under the authority of Section 11(d) of the Act denying all of Horner's export privileges for a period of one year from the date of the entry of this Order.

FOURTH, the Proposed Charging Letter, the Settlement Agreement and this Order shall be made available to the public, and a copy of this Order shall be served upon Horner.

This Order, which constitutes the final agency action in this matter, is effective immediately.



\_\_\_\_\_  
Darryl W Jackson  
Assistant Secretary of Commerce for  
Export Enforcement

Entered this 29<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2007

Attachments

INSTRUCTIONS FOR PAYMENT OF SETTLEMENT AMOUNT

1. The check should be made payable to:

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

2. The check should be mailed to:

U.S. Department of Commerce  
Bureau of Industry and Security  
**Room 6622**  
14th & Constitution Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20230

Attention: Jennifer Kuo

## NOTICE

The Order to which this Notice is attached describes the reasons for the assessment of the civil monetary penalty. It also specifies the amount owed and the date by which payment of the civil penalty is due and payable.

Under the Debt Collection Act of 1982, as amended (31 U.S.C. §§ 3701-3720E (1983 and Supp. 2001)) and the Federal Claims Collection Standards (65 Fed. Reg. 70390-70406, November 22, 2000, to be codified at 31 C.F.R. Parts 900-904), interest accrues on any and all civil monetary penalties owed and unpaid under the Order, from the date of the Order until paid in full. The rate of interest assessed respondent is the rate of the current value of funds to the U.S. Treasury on the date that the Order was entered. However, interest is waived on any portion paid within 30 days of the date of the Order. See 31 U.S.C. §3717 and 31 C.F.R. §901.9.

The civil monetary penalty will be delinquent if not paid by the due date specified in the Order. If the penalty becomes delinquent, interest will continue to accrue on the balance remaining due and unpaid, and respondent will also be assessed both an administrative charge to cover the cost of processing and handling the delinquent claim and a penalty charge of six percent per year. However, although the penalty charge will be computed from the date that the civil penalty becomes delinquent, it will be assessed only on sums due and unpaid for over 90 days after that date. See 31 U.S.C. §3717 and 4 C.F.R. §901.9.

The foregoing constitutes the initial written notice and demand to respondent in accordance with Section 901.2 of the Federal Claims Collection Standards (31 C.F.R. §901.2(b)).

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

\_\_\_\_\_  
)  
)  
)  
In the Matter of )  
)  
)

**HornerXpress Worldwide, Inc** )  
)  
)  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

**Case No. 99 15**

**SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT**

This agreement is made by and between HornerXpress Worldwide, Inc (formerly, Horner Discus International, Inc) (“Horner”), a domestic concern, and the Office of Antiboycott Compliance, Bureau of Industry and Security, United States Department of Commerce (“BIS”), pursuant to Section 766.18(a) of the Export Administration Regulations (currently codified at 15 C.F.R. Parts 730-774 (2007) (the “Regulations”), issued pursuant to the Export Administration Act of 1979, as amended (50 U.S.C. §§ 2401-2420 (2000)) (the “Act”).<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> From August 21, 1994 through November 12, 2000, the Act was in lapse. During that period, the President, through Executive Order 12924, which had been extended by successive Presidential Notices, the last of which was August 3, 2000 (3 C.F.R., 2000 Comp. 397 (2001)), continued the Regulations in effect under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. §§ 1701-1706 (2000)) (“IEEPA”). On November 13, 2000, the Act was reauthorized by Pub. L. No. 106-508 (114 Stat. 2360 (2000)) and remained in effect through August 20, 2001. Since August 21, 2001, the Act has been in lapse. Executive Order 13222 of August 17, 2001 (3 C.F.R., 2001 Comp 783 (2002)), which has been extended by successive Presidential Notices, the most recent of which was August 3, 2006 (71 Fed. Reg. 44551 (August 7, 2006)), continues the Regulations in effect under IEEPA.

WHEREAS, BIS has notified Horner of its intention to initiate an administrative proceeding against Horner pursuant to Section 11(c) of the Act by issuing the Proposed Charging Letter dated July 27, 2007, a copy of which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference; and

WHEREAS, Horner has reviewed the Proposed Charging Letter and is aware of the allegations against it and the administrative sanctions which could be imposed against it if the allegations are found to be true; Horner fully understands the terms of this Settlement Agreement, and enters into this Settlement Agreement voluntarily and with full knowledge of its rights; and Horner states that no promises or representations have been made to it other than the agreements and considerations herein expressed; and

WHEREAS, Horner neither admits nor denies the truth of the allegations, but wishes to settle and dispose of the allegations made in the Proposed Charging Letter by entering into this Settlement Agreement; and

WHEREAS, Horner agrees to be bound by the appropriate Order ("Order") when entered;

NOW, THEREFORE, Horner and BIS agree as follows:

1. Under the Act and the Regulations, BIS has jurisdiction over Horner with respect to the matters alleged in the Proposed Charging Letter.
2. BIS will impose a civil penalty in the amount of \$ 8,600. Horner will pay to the U.S. Department of Commerce, within 30 days of receipt of service of the Order, and in accordance with the terms of the Order, when entered, the amount of \$ 8,600 in complete settlement of all matters set forth in the Proposed Charging Letter,
3. As authorized by Section 11(d) of the Act, timely payment of the amount agreed to in paragraph 2 is hereby made a condition of the granting, restoration, or continuing validity of any export license, permission, or privilege granted, or to be granted, to Horner. Failure to make payment of this amount shall result in the denial of all of Horner's export privileges for a period of one year from the date of entry of the Order.
4. Subject to the approval of this Settlement Agreement, pursuant to paragraph 9 hereof, Horner hereby waives all rights to further procedural steps in this matter (except with respect to any alleged violation of this Settlement Agreement or the Order, when entered) including, without limitation, any right to:
  - A. An administrative hearing regarding the allegations in the Proposed Charging Letter;

- B. Request a refund of the funds paid by Horner pursuant to this Settlement Agreement and the Order, when entered; or
  - C. Seek judicial review or otherwise contest the validity of this Settlement Agreement or the Order, when entered.
5. BIS, upon entry of the Order, will not initiate any administrative or judicial proceeding, or make a referral to the Department of Justice for criminal proceedings against Horner with respect to any violation of Section 8 of the Act or Part 760 of the Regulations arising out of the transactions set forth in the Proposed Charging Letter or any other transaction that was disclosed to or reviewed by BIS in the course of its investigation.
6. Horner understands that BIS will disclose publicly the Proposed Charging Letter, this Settlement Agreement, and the Order, when entered.
7. This Settlement Agreement is for settlement purposes only, and does not constitute an admission by Horner that it has violated the Regulations, or an admission of the truth of any allegation contained in the Proposed Charging Letter or referred to in this Settlement Agreement. Therefore, if this Settlement Agreement is not accepted and the Order not entered by the Assistant Secretary for Export Enforcement, BIS may not use this Settlement Agreement against Horner in any administrative or judicial proceeding.

8. No agreement, understanding, representation or interpretation not contained in this Settlement Agreement may be used to vary or otherwise affect the terms of this Settlement Agreement or the Order, when entered, nor shall this Settlement Agreement bind, constrain or otherwise limit any action by any other agency or department of the United States Government with respect to the facts and circumstances herein addressed. This paragraph shall not limit Horner's right to challenge any action brought by any other agency based on a referral by BIS or any employee thereof, in contravention of paragraph 5 of this Settlement Agreement.

9. This Settlement Agreement will become binding on BIS only when approved by the Assistant Secretary for Export Enforcement by entering the Order.

HORNERXPRESS WORLDWIDE, INC  
(formerly, Horner Discus International, Inc)



DATE: 22 Aug 07

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

  
\_\_\_\_\_

Edward O. Weant III  
Director  
Office of Antiboycott Compliance

DATE: August 24, 2007

Attachment

PROPOSED CHARGING LETTER

27 July 2007

HornerXpress Worldwide Inc  
5755 Powerline Road  
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33309.2074

Attention : William A Kent, President

Case No. 99.15

Gentlemen/Ladies:

We, the Office of Antiboycott Compliance, Bureau of Industry and Security, United States Department of Commerce ("BIS"), have reason to believe that you, HornerXpress Worldwide, Inc, (formerly, Horner Discus International, Inc) ("Horner"), on four occasions, have violated the Export Administration Regulations (currently codified at 15 C.F.R. Parts 730-774 (2007)) (the "Regulations"),<sup>1</sup> which are issued under the authority of the Export Administration Act of 1979, as amended (50 U.S.C. app. §§ 2401-2420 (2000)) (the "Act").<sup>2</sup>

We charge that you committed two violations of Section 760.2(d) of the Regulations, in that, on two occasions, with intent to comply with, further or support an unsanctioned foreign boycott, you furnished information concerning your or another person's business relationships with other persons who are known or believed to be restricted from having any business relationships with or in a boycotting country.

---

<sup>1</sup> The alleged violations occurred during the years 1997, 1999 and 2000. The Regulations governing the violations at issue are found in the 1997, 1999 and 2000 versions of the Code of Federal Regulations (15 C.F.R. Parts 730-774 (1997, 1999 and 2000)). The prior years' Regulations are substantially the same as the 2007 version of the Regulations which govern the procedural aspects of this matter.

<sup>2</sup> From August 21, 1994 through November 12, 2000, the Act was in lapse. During that period, the President, through Executive Order 12924, which had been extended by successive Presidential Notices, the last of which was August 3, 2000 (3 C.F.R., 2000 Comp. 397 (2001)), continued the Regulations in effect under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. §§ 1701-1706 (2000)) ("IEEPA"). On November 13, 2000, the Act was reauthorized by Pub. L. No. 106-508 (114 Stat. 2360 (2000)) and remained in effect through August 20, 2001. Since August 21, 2001, the Act has been in lapse. Executive Order 13222 of August 17, 2001 (3 C.F.R., 2001 Comp 783 (2002)), which has been extended by successive Presidential Notices, the most recent of which was August 3, 2006 (71 Fed. Reg. 44551 (August 7, 2006)), continues the Regulations in effect under IEEPA.

We also charge that you committed two violations of Section 760.5 of the Regulations, in that, on two occasions, you failed to report to the Department of Commerce ("Department") your receipt of a request to engage in a restrictive trade practice or boycott, as required by the Regulations.

We allege that:

You, Horner, are, and at all times relevant were, a domestic concern resident in the State of Florida. As such, you are a United States person as defined in Section 760.1(b) of the Regulations.

During the years 1997 through 2000, you engaged in transactions involving the sale and/or transfer of goods or services (including information) from the United States to Qatar, activities in the interstate or foreign commerce of the United States, as defined in Section 760.1(d) of the Regulations.

**Charges 1 - 2 (15 C.F.R. § 760.2(d) - Furnishing Information about Business Relationships with Boycotted Countries or Blacklisted Persons)**

In connection with the activities referred to above, on two occasions, you furnished, to a customer in Qatar, information, as described in Table A, which is attached and incorporated herein by this reference, concerning your or another person's business relationships with other persons who are known or believed to be restricted from having any business relationships with or in a boycotting country.

Providing the information described in Table A, with intent to comply with, further or support an unsanctioned foreign boycott, is an activity prohibited by Section 760.2(d) of the Regulations, and not excepted. We therefore charge you with two violations of Section 760.2(d).

**Charges 3 - 4 (15 C.F.R. § 760.5 - Failing to Report the Receipt of a Request to Engage in a Restrictive Trade Practice or Foreign Boycott Against a Country Friendly to the United States)**

In connection with the activities referred to above, during the period 1999 through 2000, on two occasions, you received a request to take an action which would have the effect of furthering or supporting a restrictive trade practice or unsanctioned foreign boycott, as described in Table A, which is attached and incorporated herein by this reference. Section 760.5 of the Regulations requires United States persons to report to the Department their receipts of such requests. You failed to report to the Department your receipts of these requests.

By failing to report your receipts of these requests, as directed by Section 760.5 of the Regulations, you are in violation of Section 760.5. We therefore charge you with two violations of Section 760.5 of the Regulations.

Accordingly, administrative proceedings are instituted against you pursuant to Part 766 of the Regulations for the purpose of obtaining an Order imposing administrative sanctions.<sup>3</sup>

You are entitled to a hearing on the record as provided in Section 766.6 of the Regulations. If you wish to have a hearing on the record, you must file a written demand for it with your answer. You are entitled to be represented by counsel and, under Section 766.18 of the Regulations, to seek a settlement agreement.

Under the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Flexibility Act, you may be eligible for assistance from the Office of the National Ombudsman of the Small Business Administration in this matter.<sup>4</sup>

If you fail to answer the allegations contained in this letter within thirty (30) days after service as provided in Section 766.6, such failure will be treated as a default under Section 766.7.

As provided in Section 766.3, I am referring this matter to the Administrative Law Judge. Pursuant to an Interagency Agreement between BIS and the U.S. Coast Guard, the U.S. Coast Guard is providing administrative law judge services, to the extent that such services are required under the Regulations, in connection with the matters set forth in this letter. Therefore, in accordance with the instructions in Section 766.5(a) of the Regulations, your answer should be filed with:

U.S. Coast Guard ALJ Docketing Center  
40 South Gay Street  
Baltimore, Maryland 21202-4022

Attention: Administrative Law Judge

---

<sup>3</sup> Administrative sanctions may include any or all the following:

- a. A civil penalty of \$11,000 per violation (see § 764.3(a)(1) of the Regulations and 15 C.F.R. § 6.4(a)(4)(2004));
- b. Denial of export privileges (see § 764.3(a)(2) of the Regulations); and/or
- c. Exclusion from practice before BIS (see § 764.3(a)(3) of the Regulations).

<sup>4</sup> To determine eligibility and get more information, please see: <http://www.sba.gov/ombudsman>

Also, in accordance with the instructions in Section 766.5(b) of the Regulations, a copy of your answer should also be served on the Bureau of Industry and Security at:

Office of the Chief Counsel for Industry and Security  
Room H-3839  
Bureau of Industry and Security  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
14th Street & Constitution Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20230

Sincerely,

Edward O Weant, III  
Director  
Office of Antiboycott Compliance

**TABLE A**

**Schedule of Alleged Violations of Section 760.2(d)  
Furnishing Prohibited Business Information**

HornerXpress Worldwide Inc  
Case No. 99-15

<b>Item</b>	<b>Document Furnished</b>	<b>On or About</b>	<b>Order #</b>	<b>Information Furnished</b>
1	Agent's Certificate	08.19.97	HO.4112	"We the agent of the carrying vessel..., confirms (sic) that it...is permitted to enter Arab Ports."
2	Insurance Broker's Letter	05.29.99	HO.00264	Name and address of the insurance company surveyor in Qatar

**TABLE B**

**Schedule of Alleged Violations of Section 760.5  
Failure to Report Receipts of Boycott Requests**

Horner Xpress Worldwide Inc  
Case No. 99-15

<b>Item</b>	<b>Letter of Credit Number</b>	<b>Date Request Received</b>	<b>Boycotting Country</b>	<b>Boycott Request</b>
1	1111.03867.99	06.14.99	Qatar	Documents Required.... Certificate from the owner, agent, or captain of the carrying vessel...confirming that it is ....permitted to enter Arab Ports.
2	1111.04166.99	11.16.99	Qatar	Documents Required.... Certificate from the owner, agent, or captain of the carrying vessel...confirming that it is ....permitted to enter Arab Ports.