ORDER RELATING TO SERCEL, INC.

The Bureau of Industry and Security, U.S. Department of Commerce ("BIS") has notified SerceI, Inc. ("Sercel") of its intention to initiate an administrative proceeding against Sercel pursuant to Section 766.3 of the Export Administration Regulations (currently codified at 15 C.F.R. Parts 730-774 (2007)) (the "Regulations"), and Section 13(c) of the Export Administration Act of 1979, as amended (50 U.S.C. app. §§ 2401-2420 (2000)) (the "Act"), through the issuance of a proposed charging letter to Sercel that alleged that Sercel committed two violations of the Regulations. Specifically, the charges are:


2 Since August 21, 2001, the Act has been in lapse and the President, through Executive Order 13222 of August 17, 2001 (3 C.F.R., 2001 Comp. 783 (2002)), which has been extended by successive Presidential Notices, the most recent being that of August 2, 2006 (71 Fed. Reg. 44,551 (Aug. 7, 2006)), has continued the Regulations in effect under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. §§ 1701-1706 (2000)) ("IEEPA").
Charge 1 15 C.F.R. §764.2(a) – Export of National Security Controlled Items to the People’s Republic of China without a License.

On or about October 4, 2001, Sercel engaged in conduct prohibited by the Regulations when it exported marine acoustic equipment to the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”) without the export license required by the Regulations. The marine acoustic equipment was classified on the Commerce Control List under Export Control Classification Number 6A001 and its export to the PRC required a license for National Security reasons pursuant to Section 742.4 of the Regulations. In exporting these items to the PRC without the required export license, Sercel committed one violation of Section 764.2(a) of the Regulations.

Charge 2 15 C.F.R. §764.2(a) – Export of National Security Controlled Items to Brazil without a License.

On or about January 22, 2002, Sercel engaged in conduct prohibited by the Regulations when it exported a variety of marine acoustic equipment to Brazil without the export license required by the Regulations. The equipment was classified on the Commerce Control List under Export Control Classification Number 6A001 and its export to Brazil required a license for National Security reasons pursuant to Section 742.4 of the Regulations. In exporting these items to Brazil without the required export license, Sercel committed one violation of Section 764.2(a) of the Regulations.

WHEREAS, BIS and Sercel have entered into a Settlement Agreement pursuant to Section 766.18(a) of the Regulations whereby they agreed to settle this matter in accordance with the terms and conditions set forth therein, and

WHEREAS, I have approved of the terms of such Settlement Agreement;

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

FIRST, that a civil penalty of $8,000 is assessed against Sercel, which shall be paid to the U.S. Department of Commerce within 30 days from the date of entry of this Order. Payment shall be made in the manner specified in the attached instructions.

SECOND, that, pursuant to the Debt Collection Act of 1982, as amended (31 U.S.C. §§ 3701-3720E (2000)), the civil penalty owed under this Order accrues interest as more fully described in the attached Notice, and, if payment is not made by the due date specified herein,
Sercel will be assessed, in addition to the full amount of the civil penalty and interest, a penalty charge and an administrative charge, as more fully described in the attached Notice.

THIRD, that the timely payment of the civil penalty set forth above is hereby made a condition to the granting, restoration, or continuing validity of any export license, license exception, permission, or privilege granted, or to be granted, to Sercel. Accordingly, if Sercel should fail to pay the civil penalty in a timely manner, the undersigned may enter an Order denying all of Sercel’s export privileges under the Regulations for a period of one year from the date of entry of this Order.

FOURTH, that the proposed charging letter, the Settlement Agreement, and this Order shall be made available to the public.

This Order, which constitutes the final agency action in this matter, is effective immediately.

Darryl W. Jackson
Assistant Secretary of Commerce
for Export Enforcement

Entered this 16th day of July, 2007.
In the Matter of:  
Sercel, Inc.  
17200 Park Row (77084)  
P.O. Box 218909  
Houston, TX 77218  

Respondent

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This Settlement Agreement ("Agreement") is made by and between Sercel, Inc. ("Sercel"), and the Bureau of Industry and Security, U.S. Department of Commerce ("BIS") (collectively, the "Parties"), pursuant to Section 766.18(a) of the Export Administration Regulations (currently codified at 15 C.F.R. Parts 730-774 (2007)) (the "Regulations"), issued pursuant to the Export Administration Act of 1979, as amended (50 U.S.C. app. §§ 2401-2420 (2000)) (the "Act").


2 Since August 21, 2001, the Act has been in lapse and the President, through Executive Order 13222 of August 17, 2001 (3 C.F.R., 2001 Comp. 783 (2002)), which has been extended by successive Presidential Notices, the most recent being that of August 2, 2006 (71 Fed. Reg. 44,551 (Aug. 7, 2006)), has continued the Regulations in effect under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. §§ 1701-1706 (2000) ("IEEPA").
WHEREAS, Sercel filed a voluntary self-disclosure with BIS's Office of Export Enforcement in accordance with Section 764.5 of the Regulations concerning certain transactions at issue herein;

WHEREAS, BIS has notified Sercel of its intention to initiate an administrative proceeding against Sercel, pursuant to the Act and the Regulations;

WHEREAS, BIS has issued a proposed charging letter to Sercel that alleged that Sercel committed two violations of the Regulations, specifically:

**Charge 1**  
15 C.F.R. §764.2(a) – Export of National Security Controlled Items to the People's Republic of China without a License.

On or about October 4, 2001, Sercel engaged in conduct prohibited by the Regulations when it exported marine acoustic equipment to the People's Republic of China ("PRC") without the export license required by the Regulations. The marine acoustic equipment was classified on the Commerce Control List under Export Control Classification Number 6A001 and its export to the PRC required a license for National Security reasons pursuant to Section 742.4 of the Regulations. In exporting these items to the PRC without the required export license, Sercel committed one violation of Section 764.2(a) of the Regulations.

**Charge 2**  
15 C.F.R. §764.2(a) – Export of National Security Controlled Items to Brazil without a License.

On or about January 22, 2002, Sercel engaged in conduct prohibited by the Regulations when it exported a variety of marine acoustic equipment to Brazil without the export license required by the Regulations. The equipment was classified on the Commerce Control List under Export Control Classification Number 6A001 and its export to Brazil required a license for National Security reasons pursuant to Section 742.4 of the Regulations. In exporting these items to Brazil without the required export license, Sercel committed one violation of Section 764.2(a) of the Regulations.

WHEREAS, Sercel has reviewed the proposed charging letter and is aware of the allegations made against it and the administrative sanctions which could be imposed against it if the allegations are found to be true;
WHEREAS, Sercel fully understands the terms of this Agreement and the Order ("Order") that the Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Export Enforcement will issue if he approves this Agreement as the final resolution of this matter;

WHEREAS, Sercel enters into this Agreement voluntarily and with full knowledge of its rights;

WHEREAS, Sercel states that no promises or representations have been made to it other than the agreements and considerations herein expressed;

WHEREAS, Sercel neither admits nor denies the allegations contained in the proposed charging letter;

WHEREAS, Sercel wishes to settle and dispose of all matters alleged in the proposed charging letter by entering into this Agreement; and

WHEREAS, Sercel agrees to be bound by the Order, if entered;

NOW THEREFORE, the Parties hereby agree as follows:

1. BIS has jurisdiction over Sercel, under the Regulations, in connection with the matters alleged in the proposed charging letter.

2. The following sanction shall be imposed against Sercel in complete settlement of the alleged violations of the Regulations relating to the transactions specifically detailed in the voluntary self-disclosure and the proposed charging letter:
   a. Sercel shall be assessed a civil penalty in the amount of $8,000, all of which shall be paid to the U.S. Department of Commerce within 30 days from the date of entry of the Order.
   b. The timely payment of the civil penalty agreed to in paragraph 2.a is hereby made a condition to the granting, restoration, or continuing validity of
any export license, permission, or privilege granted, or to be granted, to Sercel.

Failure to make timely payment of the civil penalty set forth above may result in
the denial of all of Sercel’s export privileges for a period of one year from the
date of imposition of the penalty.

3. Subject to the approval of this Agreement pursuant to paragraph 8 hereof,
Sercel hereby waives all rights to further procedural steps in this matter (except with
respect to any alleged violations of this Agreement or the Order, if entered), including,
without limitation, any right to: (a) an administrative hearing regarding the allegations in
any charging letter; (b) request a refund of any civil penalty paid pursuant to this
Agreement and the Order, if entered; and (c) seek judicial review or otherwise contest the
validity of this Agreement or the Order, if entered.

4. Upon entry of the Order and timely payment of the $8,000 civil penalty,
BIS will not initiate any further administrative proceeding against Sercel in connection
with any violation of the Act or the Regulations arising out of the transactions identified
in the voluntary self-disclosure and the proposed charging letter.

5. BIS will make the proposed charging letter, this Agreement, and the Order,
if entered, available to the public.

6. This Agreement is for settlement purposes only. Therefore, if this
Agreement is not accepted and the Order is not issued by the Assistant Secretary of
Commerce for Export Enforcement pursuant to Section 766.18(a) of the Regulations, no
Party may use this Agreement in any administrative or judicial proceeding and the Parties
shall not be bound by the terms contained in this Agreement in any subsequent
administrative or judicial proceeding.
7. No agreement, understanding, representation or interpretation not contained in this Agreement may be used to vary or otherwise affect the terms of this Agreement or the Order, if entered, nor shall this Agreement serve to bind, constrain, or otherwise limit any action by any other agency or department of the U.S. Government with respect to the facts and circumstances addressed herein.

8. This Agreement shall become binding on the Parties only if the Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Export Enforcement approves it by entering the Order, which will have the same force and effect as a decision and order issued after a full administrative hearing on the record.

9. Each signatory affirms that he has authority to enter into this Settlement Agreement and to bind his respective party to the terms and conditions set forth herein.

BUREAU OF INDUSTRY AND SECURITY
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

SERCEL, INC.

John McIverra
Acting Director
Office of Export Enforcement

Date: 7/13/07

George Wood
President

Date: 9 July 2007
Dear Mr. Wood:

The Bureau of Industry and Security, U.S. Department of Commerce ("BIS"), has reason to believe that Sercel, Inc., of Houston, TX ("Sercel"), has committed two violations of the Export Administration Regulations (the "Regulations"), which are issued under the authority of the Export Administration Act of 1979, as amended (the "Act"). Specifically, BIS charges that Sercel committed the following violations:

**Charge 1** 15 C.F.R. §764.2(a) – Export of National Security Controlled Items to the People’s Republic of China without a License.

On or about October 4, 2001, Sercel engaged in conduct prohibited by the Regulations when it exported marine acoustic equipment to the People’s Republic of China ("PRC") without the export license required by the Regulations. The marine acoustic equipment was classified on the Commerce Control List under Export Control Classification Number 6A001 and its export to the PRC required a license for National Security reasons pursuant to Section 742.4 of the Regulations. In exporting these items to the PRC without the required export license, Sercel committed one violation of Section 764.2(a) of the Regulations.

---


Charge 2  15 C.F.R. §764.2(a) – Export of National Security Controlled Items to Brazil without a License.

On or about January 22, 2002, Sercel engaged in conduct prohibited by the Regulations when it exported a variety of marine acoustic equipment to Brazil without the export license required by the Regulations. The equipment was classified on the Commerce Control List under Export Control Classification Number 6A001 and its export to Brazil required a license for National Security reasons pursuant to Section 742.4 of the Regulations. In exporting these items to Brazil without the required export license, Sercel committed one violation of Section 764.2(a) of the Regulations.

* * * *

Accordingly, Sercel is hereby notified that an administrative proceeding is instituted against it pursuant to Section 13(c) of the Act and Part 766 of the Regulations for the purpose of obtaining an order imposing administrative sanctions, including any or all of the following:

- The maximum civil penalty allowed by law of up to $11,000 per violation;³
- Denial of export privileges; and/or
- Exclusion from practice before BIS.

If Sercel fails to answer the charges contained in this letter within 30 days after being served with notice of issuance of this letter, that failure will be treated as a default. See 15 C.F.R. §§ 766.6 and 766.7 (2007). If Sercel defaults, the Administrative Law Judge may find the charges alleged in this letter to be true without a hearing or further notice to Sercel. The Under Secretary of Commerce for Industry and Security may then impose up to the maximum penalty on each of the charges in this letter.

Sercel is further notified that it is entitled to an agency hearing on the record if it files a written demand for one with its answer. See 15 C.F.R. § 766.6 (2007). Sercel is also entitled to be represented by counsel or other authorized representative who has power of attorney to represent it. 15 C.F.R. §§ 766.3(a) and 766.4 (2007).

The Regulations provide for settlement without a hearing. See 15 C.F.R. § 766.18 (2007). Should Sercel have a proposal to settle this case, Sercel’s representative should transmit it through the attorney representing BIS, who is named below.

---

The U.S. Coast Guard is providing administrative law judge services in connection with
the matters set forth in this letter. Accordingly, Sercel's answer must be filed in
accordance with the instructions in Section 766.5(a) of the Regulations with:

U.S. Coast Guard ALJ Docketing Center
40 S. Gay Street
Baltimore, Maryland 21202-4022

In addition, a copy of Sercel's answer must be served on BIS at the following address:

Chief Counsel for Industry and Security
Attention: Charles Wall, Esq.
Room H-3839
United States Department of Commerce
14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20230

Charles Wall is the attorney representing BIS in this case; any communications that
Sercel may wish to have concerning this matter should occur through him. Mr. Wall may
be contacted by telephone at (202) 482-5301.

Sincerely,

John McKenna
Acting Director
Office of Export Enforcement