In the Matter of:

Robert Reed
103 Hydrangea Way
Coatesville, Pennsylvania 19320

Respondent

ORDER RELATING TO
ROBERT REED

The Bureau of Industry and Security, U.S. Department of Commerce ("BIS"), has notified Robert Reed, of Coatesville, Pennsylvania ("Reed"), of its intention to initiate an administrative proceeding against Reed pursuant to Section 766.3 of the Export Administration Regulations (the "Regulations"), and Section 13(c) of the Export Administration Act of 1979, as amended (the "Act"), through the issuance of a Proposed Charging Letter to Reed that alleges that Reed committed one violation of the Regulations. Specifically, the charge is:


Since August 21, 2001, the Act has been in lapse and the President, through Executive Order 13222 of August 17, 2001 (3 C.F.R., 2001 Comp. 783 (2002)), which has been extended by successive Presidential Notices, the most recent being that of August 15, 2012 (77 Fed. Reg. 49699 (Aug. 16, 2012)), has continued the Regulations in effect under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. § 1701, et seq.).
Charge 1  15 C.F.R. § 764.2(g) – False Statement to BIS in the Course of an Investigation

On July 11, 2011, Reed made a false or misleading statement to BIS in the course of an investigation. Reed is the co-owner of Muscle Gauge Nutrition, LLC (“MGN”). On July 11, 2011, Special Agents of BIS’s Office of Export Enforcement (“BIS Special Agents”) interviewed Reed concerning a shipment of whey protein supplements, items subject to the Regulations\(^3\) and the Iranian Transactions Regulations (“ITR”)\(^4\), that MGN had attempted to export without the required license from the United States to Iran through the United Arab Emirates (“UAE”) in June 2011. During the interview, Reed described his email and telephone dealings during the spring and summer of 2011 with an individual who represented himself as the sales manager of a company on whose behalf he placed the order with MGN. Reed informed the BIS Special Agents that he believed that the supplements sold to the company were destined for the UAE. Reed’s statement was false, as the sales manager had informed him that the items’ end user was located in Iran. Specifically, in an email dated June 28, 2011 regarding freight costs for the shipment, the individual indicated to Reed that “this shipment that we are working transit to [I]ran...I should add end user is [I]ran.” In so doing, Reed committed one violation of Section 764.2(g) of the Regulations.

WHEREAS, BIS and Reed have entered into a Settlement Agreement pursuant to Section 766.18(a) of the Regulations, whereby they agreed to settle this matter in accordance with the terms and conditions set forth therein; and

WHEREAS, I have approved of the terms of such Settlement Agreement;

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

FIRST, Reed shall be assessed a civil penalty in the amount of $37,500. Reed shall pay the U.S. Department of Commerce $22,000 in three installments as follows: $8,000 not later than November 20, 2012; $7,000 not later than January 22, 2013; and $7,000 not later than March 22, 2013. If any of the three installment payments is not fully and timely made, any remaining scheduled installment payments and any suspended

\(^3\) The items were valued at $93,000 and designated as EAR99, a designation for items subject to the Regulations but not listed on the Commerce Control List. 15 C.F.R. § 734.3(c).

\(^4\) 31 C.F.R. Part 560.
penalty shall become due and owing immediately. Payment of the remaining $15,500 shall be suspended for a period of two years from the date of the Order, and thereafter shall be waived, provided that during this two-year payment probationary period under the Order, Reed has committed no violation of the Act, or any regulation, order, license or authorization issued thereunder and has made full and timely payment of $22,000 as set forth above.

SECOND, that, pursuant to the Debt Collection Act of 1982, as amended (31 U.S.C. §§ 3701-3720E (2000)), the civil penalty owed under this Order accrues interest as more fully described in the attached Notice, and if payment is not made by the due date specified herein, Reed will be assessed, in addition to the full amount of the civil penalty and interest, a penalty charge and an administrative charge, as more fully described in the attached Notice.

THIRD, that the full and timely payment of the civil penalty in accordance with the payment schedule set forth above is hereby made a condition to the granting, restoration, or continuing validity of any export license, license exception, permission, or privilege granted, or to be granted, to Reed. Accordingly, if Reed should fail to pay the civil penalty in a full and timely manner as set forth above, the undersigned may issue an Order denying all of Reed’s export privileges under the Regulations for a period of one year from the date of failure to make such payment.

FOURTH, that the Proposed Charging Letter, the Settlement Agreement, and this Order shall be made available to the public.
This Order, which constitutes the final agency action in this matter, is effective immediately.

Issued this ___ day of September, 2012.

David W. Mills
Assistant Secretary of Commerce
for Export Enforcement
In the Matter of:

Robert Reed
103 Hydrangea Way
Coatesville, Pennsylvania 19320

Respondent

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This Settlement Agreement ("Agreement") is made by and between Robert Reed of Coatesville, Pennsylvania ("Reed"), and the Bureau of Industry and Security, U.S. Department of Commerce ("BIS") (collectively, the "Parties"), pursuant to Section 766.18(a) of the Export Administration Regulations (the "Regulations"),¹ issued pursuant to the Export Administration Act of 1979, as amended (the "Act").²

WHEREAS, BIS has notified Reed of its intentions to initiate an administrative proceeding against Reed, pursuant to the Act and the Regulations;

WHEREAS, BIS has issued a Proposed Charging Letter to Reed that alleges that Reed committed one violation of the Regulations, specifically:

¹ The Regulations are currently codified in the Code of Federal Regulations at 15 C.F.R. Parts 730-774 (2012). The charged violation occurred in 2011. The Regulations governing the violation at issue are found in the 2011 version of the Code of Federal Regulations (15 C.F.R. Parts 730-774). The 2012 Regulations set forth the procedures that apply to this matter.

Charge 1  
15 C.F.R. § 764.2(g) – False Statement to BIS in the Course of an Investigation

On July 11, 2011, Reed made a false or misleading statement to BIS in the course of an investigation. Reed is the co-owner of Muscle Gauge Nutrition, LLC (“MGN”). On July 11, 2011, Special Agents of BIS’s Office of Export Enforcement (“BIS Special Agents”) interviewed Reed concerning a shipment of whey protein supplements, items subject to the Regulations\(^3\) and the Iranian Transactions Regulations (“ITR”\(^4\) that MGN had attempted to export without the required license from the United States to Iran through the United Arab Emirates (“UAE”) in June 2011. During the interview, Reed described his email and telephone dealings during the spring and summer of 2011 with an individual who represented himself as the sales manager of a company on whose behalf he placed the order with MGN. Reed informed the BIS Special Agents that he believed that the supplements sold to the company were destined for the UAE. Reed’s statement was false, as the sales manager had informed him that the items’ end user was located in Iran. Specifically, in an email dated June 28, 2011 regarding freight costs for the shipment, the individual indicated to Reed that “this shipment that we are working transit to [I]ran...I should add end user is [I]ran.” In so doing, Reed committed one violation of Section 764.2(g) of the Regulations.

WHEREAS, Reed has reviewed the Proposed Charging Letter and is aware of the allegations made against him and the administrative sanctions that could be imposed against him if the allegations are found to be true;

WHEREAS, Reed fully understands the terms of this Agreement and the Order (“Order”) that the Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Export Enforcement will issue if he approves this Agreement as the final resolution of this matter;

WHEREAS, Reed enters into this Agreement voluntarily and with full knowledge of his rights, after having consulted with counsel;

WHEREAS, Reed states that no promises or representations have been made to him other than the agreements and considerations herein expressed;

\(^3\) The items were valued at $93,000 and designated as EAR99, a designation for items subject to the Regulations but not listed on the Commerce Control List. 15 C.F.R. § 734.3(c).

\(^4\) 31 C.F.R. Part 560.
WHEREAS, Reed neither admits nor denies the allegations contained in the Proposed Charging Letter;

WHEREAS, Reed wishes to settle and dispose of all matters alleged in the Proposed Charging Letter by entering into this Agreement; and

WHEREAS, Reed agrees to be bound by the Order, if issued;

NOW THEREFORE, the Parties hereby agree, for purposes of this Settlement Agreement, as follows:

1. BIS has jurisdiction over Reed, under the Regulations, in connection with the matters alleged in the Proposed Charging Letter.

2. The following sanction shall be imposed against Reed in complete settlement of the alleged violations of the Regulations relating to the transaction specifically detailed in the Proposed Charging Letter:

   a. Reed shall be assessed a civil penalty in the amount of $37,500. Reed shall pay the U.S. Department of Commerce $22,000 in three installments as follows: $8,000 not later than November 20, 2012; $7,000 not later than January 22, 2013; and $7,000 not later than March 22, 2013. Payment shall be made in the manner specified in the attached instructions. If any of the three installment payments is not fully and timely made, any remaining scheduled installment payments and any suspended penalty shall become due and owing immediately. Payment of the remaining $15,500 shall be suspended for a period of two years from the date of the Order, and thereafter shall be waived, provided that during this two-year payment probationary period under the Order, Reed has committed no violation of the Act, or any regulation, order, license or
authorization issued thereunder and has made full and timely payment of $22,000 as set forth above.

b. The full and timely payment of the civil penalty agreed to in Paragraph 2.a is hereby made a condition to the granting, restoration, or continuing validity of any export license, license exception, permission, or privilege granted, or to be granted, to Reed. Failure to make full and timely payment of the civil penalty as set forth in Paragraph 2.a may result in the denial of all of Reed’s export privileges under the Regulations for one year from the date of the failure to make such payment.

3. Subject to the approval of this Agreement pursuant to Paragraph 7 hereof, Reed hereby waives all rights to further procedural steps in this matter (except with respect to any alleged violations of this Agreement or the Order, if issued), including, without limitation, any right to: (a) an administrative hearing regarding the allegations in any charging letter; (b) request a refund of any civil penalty paid pursuant to this Agreement and the Order, if issued; and (c) seek judicial review or otherwise contest the validity of this Agreement or the Order, if issued. Reed also waives and will not assert any Statute of Limitations defense, and the Statute of Limitations will be tolled, in connection with any violation of the Act or the Regulations arising out of the transaction identified in the Proposed Charging Letter or in connection with collection of the civil penalty or enforcement of this Agreement and the Order, if issued, from the date of the Order until the date Reed pays in full the civil penalty agreed to in Paragraph 2.a of this Agreement.

4. BIS agrees that upon full and timely payment of the civil penalty as set forth in Paragraph 2.a, BIS will not initiate any further administrative proceeding against Reed in
connection with any violation of the Act or the Regulations arising out of the transaction specifically detailed in the Proposed Charging Letter.

5. This Agreement is for settlement purposes only. Therefore, if this Agreement is not accepted and the Order is not issued by the Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Export Enforcement pursuant to Section 766.18(a) of the Regulations, no Party may use this Agreement in any administrative or judicial proceeding and the Parties shall not be bound by the terms contained in this Agreement in any subsequent administrative or judicial proceeding.

6. No agreement, understanding, representation or interpretation not contained in this Agreement may be used to vary or otherwise affect the terms of this Agreement or the Order, if issued; nor shall this Agreement serve to bind, constrain, or otherwise limit any action by any other agency or department of the U.S. Government with respect to the facts and circumstances addressed herein.

7. This Agreement shall become binding on the Parties only if the Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Export Enforcement approves it by issuing the Order, which will have the same force and effect as a decision and order issued after a full administrative hearing on the record.

8. BIS will make the Proposed Charging Letter, this Agreement, and the Order, if issued, available to the public.
9. Each signatory affirms that he has authority to enter into this Settlement Agreement and to bind his respective party to the terms and conditions set forth herein.

Robert Reed
Settlement Agreement
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BUREAU OF INDUSTRY AND SECURITY
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Douglas R. Hassebrock
Director of Export Enforcement

ROBERT REED

Date: 9/26/12

Reviewed and approved by:

William T. Wilson, Esq.
MacElree Harvey
Counsel for Robert Reed

Date: 9/25/12

Date: 9/26/12
PROPOSED CHARGING LETTER
CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Robert Reed
103 Hydrangea Way
Coatesville, Pennsylvania 19320

Dear Mr. Reed:

The Bureau of Industry and Security, U.S. Department of Commerce ("BIS"), has reason to believe that you, Robert Reed ("Reed"), of Coatesville, Pennsylvania, have committed one violation of the Export Administration Regulations (the "Regulations").¹ which issued under the authority of the Export Administration Act of 1979, as amended (the "Act").² Specifically, BIS charges that Reed committed the following violation:

Charge 1 15 C.F.R. § 764.2(g) – False Statement to BIS in the Course of an Investigation

On July 11, 2011, Reed made a false or misleading statement to BIS in the course of an investigation. Reed is the co-owner of Muscle Gauge Nutrition, LLC ("MGN"). On July 11, 2011, Special Agents of BIS’s Office of Export Enforcement ("BIS Special Agents") interviewed Reed concerning a shipment of whey protein supplements, items subject to the Regulations³ and the Iranian Transactions Regulations ("ITR")⁴, that MGN had attempted to export without the required license from the United States to Iran through the United Arab Emirates ("UAE") in June 2011. During the interview, Reed described his email and telephone dealings during the spring and summer of 2011 with an individual who represented himself as the sales manager of a company on whose behalf


³ The items were valued at $93,000 and designated as EAR99, a designation for items subject to the Regulations but not listed on the Commerce Control List. 15 C.F.R. § 734.3(c) (2011).

he placed the order with MGN. Reed informed the BIS Special Agents that he believed that the supplements sold to the company were destined for the UAE. Reed's statement was false, as the sales manager had informed him that the items' end user was located in Iran. Specifically, in an email dated June 28, 2011 regarding freight costs for the shipment, the individual indicated to Reed that “this shipment that we are working transit to [I]ran... I should add end user is [I]ran.” In so doing, Reed committed one violation of Section 764.2(g) of the Regulations.

* * * * *

Accordingly, Reed is hereby notified that an administrative proceeding is instituted against him pursuant to Section 13(c) of the Act and Part 766 of the Regulations for the purpose of obtaining an order imposing administrative sanctions and any other liability, sanction, or penalty available under law, including but not limited to any or all of the following:

- The maximum civil penalty allowed by law of up to the greater of $250,000 per violation or twice the value of the transaction that is the basis of the violation;5
- Denial of export privileges; and/or
- Exclusion from practice before BIS.

If Reed fails to answer the charges contained in this letter within 30 days after being served with notice of issuance of this letter, that failure will be treated as a default. 15 C.F.R. §§ 766.6 and 766.7 (2011). If Reed defaults, the Administrative Law Judge may find the charges alleged in this letter are true without a hearing or further notice to Reed. The Under Secretary of Commerce for Industry and Security may then impose up to the maximum penalty on each of the charges in this letter.

Reed is further notified that he is entitled to an agency hearing on the record if he files a written demand for one with his answer. 15 C.F.R. § 766.6 (2011). Reed is also entitled to be represented by counsel or other authorized representative who has power of attorney to represent him. 15 C.F.R. §§ 766.3(a) and 766.4 (2011).

The Regulations provide for settlement without a hearing. 15 C.F.R. § 766.18 (2011). Should Reed have a proposal to settle this case, Reed or his representative should transmit it through the attorney representing BIS named below.

---

The U.S. Coast Guard is providing administrative law judge services in connection with the matters set forth in this letter. Accordingly, Reed’s answer must be filed in accordance with the instructions in Section 766.5(a) of the Regulations with:

U.S. Coast Guard ALJ Docketing Center
40 S. Gay Street
Baltimore, Maryland 21202-4022

In addition, a copy of Reed’s answer must be served on BIS at the following address:

Chief Counsel for Industry and Security
Attention: Parvin R. Huda
Room H-3839
United States Department of Commerce
14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20230

Parvin R. Huda is the attorney representing BIS in this case; any communications that Reed may wish to have concerning this matter should occur through her. Ms. Huda may be contacted by telephone at (202) 482-5301.

Sincerely,

Doug R. Hassebrock
Director
Office of Export Enforcement

Enclosure