ORDER RELATING TO
VANTEC WORLD TRANSPORT (USA), INC.

The Bureau of Industry and Security, U.S. Department of Commerce ("BIS"), has notified Vantec World Transport (USA), Inc. ("Vantec"), of its intention to initiate an administrative proceeding against Vantec pursuant to Section 766.3 of the Export Administration Regulations (the "Regulations"), and Section 13(c) of the Export Administration Act of 1979, as amended (the "Act"), through the issuance of a Proposed Charging Letter to Vantec that alleges that Vantec committed two violations of the Regulations. Specifically, the charges are:

1 The Regulations are currently codified in the Code of Federal Regulations at 15 C.F.R. Parts 730-774 (2012). The charged violations occurred in 2007. The Regulations governing the violations at issue are found in the 2007 version of the Code of Federal Regulations (15 C.F.R. Parts 730-774). The 2012 Regulations set forth the procedures that apply to this matter.

Charges 1-2 15 C.F.R. § 764.2(b): Causing, Aiding, and/or Abetting an Act Prohibited by the Regulations

On two occasions, on or about September 1, 2007, and October 6, 2007, Vantec caused, aided, and/or abetted an act prohibited by the Regulations. Specifically, Vantec, acting as a freight forwarder, arranged for the export of items subject to the Regulations from the United States to the Pakistan Space and Upper Atmosphere Research Commission ("SUPARCO"), an entity that is listed on BIS’s Entity List set forth in Supplement No. 4 to Part 744 of the Regulations. Specifically, on or about September 1, 2007, Vantec arranged for the export of antennae and cables valued at $12,480 and designated as EAR99⁴ to SUPARCO. On or about October 6, 2007, Vantec arranged for the export of an atmospheric testing device valued at $191,870 and designated as EAR99 to SUPARCO. SUPARCO was added to the Entity List in November 1998 through a rule published in the Federal Register regarding certain entities in India and Pakistan, including SUPARCO, that were “determined to be involved in nuclear or missile activities.”⁴ At all times relevant hereto, SUPARCO remained on the Entity List. Vantec’s actions caused, aided, and/or abetted the export of the items to SUPARCO without the Department of Commerce licenses required pursuant to Section 744.11 and Supplement No. 4 to Part 744 of the Regulations. In so doing, Vantec committed two violations of Section 764.2(b) of the Regulations.

WHEREAS, BIS and Vantec have entered into a Settlement Agreement pursuant to Section 766.18(a) of the Regulations, whereby they agreed to settle this matter in accordance with the terms and conditions set forth therein; and

WHEREAS, I have approved of the terms of such Settlement Agreement;

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

FIRST, Vantec shall be assessed a civil penalty in the amount of $40,000, all of which shall be suspended for a period of two years from the date of the Order, and thereafter shall be waived, provided that during this two-year payment probationary period, Vantec has committed no violation of the Act, or any regulation, order, license, or

---

³ EAR99 is a designation for items subject to the Regulations but not listed on the Commerce Control List. 15 C.F.R. § 734.3(c) (2012).

⁴ India and Pakistan Sanctions and Other Measures, 63 Fed. Reg. 64,322 (Nov. 19, 1998).
authorization issued thereunder. If Vantec commits a violation of the Act or of any regulation, order, license, or authorization issued thereunder during this two-year probationary period, the suspension may be revoked by BIS and the penalty made due and owing immediately in its entirety.

SECOND, that the Proposed Charging Letter, the Settlement Agreement, and this Order shall be made available to the public.

This Order, which constitutes final agency action in this matter, is effective immediately.

Issued this __ day of __, 2013.

David W. Mills
Assistant Secretary of Commerce
for Export Enforcement
United States Department of Commerce  
Bureau of Industry and Security  
Washington, D.C. 20230

In the Matter of:

Vantec World Transport (USA), Inc.  
991 Francisco Street  
Torrance, California 90502

Respondent

Settlement Agreement

This Settlement Agreement ("Agreement") is made by and between Vantec World Transport (USA), Inc. ("Vantec") and the Bureau of Industry and Security, U.S. Department of Commerce ("BIS") (collectively, the "Parties"), pursuant to Section 766.18(a) of the Export Administration Regulations (the "Regulations"), issued pursuant to the Export Administration Act of 1979, as amended (the "Act").

WHEREAS, BIS has notified Vantec of its intentions to initiate an administrative proceeding against Vantec, pursuant to the Act and the Regulations;

WHEREAS, BIS has issued a Proposed Charging Letter to Vantec that alleges that Vantec committed two violations of the Regulations, specifically:

1 The Regulations are currently codified in the Code of Federal Regulations at 15 C.F.R. Parts 730-774 (2012). The charged violations occurred in 2007. The Regulations governing the violations at issue are found in the 2007 version of the Code of Federal Regulations (15 C.F.R. Parts 730-774). The 2012 Regulations set forth the procedures that apply to this matter.

Charges 1-2 15 C.F.R. § 764.2(b): Causing, Aiding, and/or Abetting an Act Prohibited by the Regulations

On two occasions, on or about September 1, 2007, and October 6, 2007, Vantec caused, aided, and/or abetted an act prohibited by the Regulations. Specifically, Vantec, acting as a freight forwarder, arranged for the export of items subject to the Regulations from the United States to the Pakistan Space and Upper Atmosphere Research Commission (“SUPARCO”), an entity that is listed on BIS’s Entity List set forth in Supplement No. 4 to Part 744 of the Regulations. Specifically, on or about September 1, 2007, Vantec arranged for the export of antennae and cables valued at $12,480 and designated as EAR99 to SUPARCO. On or about October 6, 2007, Vantec arranged for the export of an atmospheric testing device valued at $191,870 and designated as EAR99 to SUPARCO. SUPARCO was added to the Entity List in November 1998 through a rule published in the Federal Register regarding certain entities in India and Pakistan, including SUPARCO, that were “determined to be involved in nuclear or missile activities.” At all times relevant hereto, SUPARCO remained on the Entity List. Vantec’s actions caused, aided, and/or abetted the export of the items to SUPARCO without the Department of Commerce licenses required pursuant to Section 744.11 and Supplement No. 4 to Part 744 of the Regulations. In so doing, Vantec committed two violations of Section 764.2(b) of the Regulations.

WHEREAS, Vantec has reviewed the Proposed Charging Letter and is aware of the allegations made against it and the administrative sanctions that could be imposed against it if the allegations are found to be true;

WHEREAS, Vantec fully understands the terms of this Agreement and the Order (“Order”) that the Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Export Enforcement will issue if he approves this Agreement as the final resolution of this matter;

WHEREAS, Vantec enters into this Agreement voluntarily and with full knowledge of its rights, after having consulted with counsel;

WHEREAS, Vantec states that no promises or representations have been made to it other than the agreements and considerations herein expressed;

---

3 EAR99 is a designation for items subject to the Regulations but not listed on the Commerce Control List. 15 C.F.R. § 734.3(c) (2012).

WHEREAS, Vantec neither admits nor denies the allegations contained in the Proposed Charging Letter;

WHEREAS, Vantec wishes to settle and dispose of all matters alleged in the Proposed Charging Letter by entering into this Agreement; and

WHEREAS, Vantec agrees to be bound by the Order, if issued;

NOW THEREFORE, the Parties hereby agree, for purposes of this Settlement Agreement, as follows:

1. BIS has jurisdiction over Vantec, under the Regulations, in connection with the matters alleged in the Proposed Charging Letter.

2. The following sanction shall be imposed against Vantec in complete settlement of the alleged violations of the Regulations relating to the transactions specifically detailed in the Proposed Charging Letter:
   a. Vantec shall be assessed a civil penalty in the amount of $40,000, all of which shall be suspended for a period of two years from the date of entry of the Order and thereafter shall be waived, provided that during this two-year probationary period, Vantec has committed no violation of the Act, or any regulation, order, license, or authorization issued thereunder. If Vantec commits such a violation during the probationary period, the suspension may be revoked by BIS and the penalty made due and owning immediately.

3. Subject to the approval of this Agreement pursuant to Paragraph 7 hereof, Vantec hereby waives all rights to further procedural steps in this matter (except with respect to any alleged violations of this Agreement or the Order, if issued), including, without limitation, any right to: (a) an administrative hearing regarding the allegations in any charging letter; (b) request
a refund of any civil penalty paid pursuant to this Agreement and the Order, if issued; and (c)
seek judicial review or otherwise contest the validity of this Agreement or the Order, if issued.
Vantec also waives and will not assert any Statute of Limitations defense, and the Statute of
Limitations will be tolled, in connection with any violation of the Act or the Regulations arising
out of the transactions identified in the Proposed Charging Letter or in connection with the
enforcement of this Agreement and the Order, if issued, for a period of two years from the date
of the Order.

4. If the Order is entered and Vantec does not commit any violation of the Act or
any regulation, order, license, or authorization issued thereunder during the two-year
probationary period described above, BIS will not initiate any further administrative proceeding
against Vantec in connection with any violation of the Act or the Regulations arising out of the
transactions specifically detailed in the Proposed Charging Letter, except with regard to a
violation of this Agreement.

5. This Agreement is for settlement purposes only. Therefore, if this Agreement is
not accepted and the Order is not issued by the Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Export
Enforcement pursuant to Section 766.18(a) of the Regulations, no Party may use this Agreement
in any administrative or judicial proceeding and the Parties shall not be bound by the terms
contained in this Agreement in any subsequent administrative or judicial proceeding.

6. No agreement, understanding, representation, or interpretation not contained in
this Agreement may be used to vary or otherwise affect the terms of this Agreement or the Order,
if issued; nor shall this Agreement serve to bind, constrain, or otherwise limit any action by any
other agency or department of the U.S. Government with respect to the facts and circumstances
addressed herein.
7. This Agreement shall become binding on the Parties only if the Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Export Enforcement approves it by issuing the Order, which will have the same force and effect as a decision and order issued after a full administrative hearing on the record.

8. BIS will make the Proposed Charging Letter, this Agreement, and the Order, if issued, available to the public.

9. Each signatory affirms that he has authority to enter into this Settlement Agreement and to bind his respective party to the terms and conditions set forth herein.

BUREAU OF INDUSTRY AND SECURITY
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Douglas R. Hassebrock
Director of Export Enforcement

VANTEC WORLD TRANSPORT (USA), INC.

Randy Shiosaki
Secretary

Date: 3/7/13

Reviewed and approved by:

Susan Kohn Ross
Mitchell Silberberg & Knupp LLP
Counsel for Vantec World Transport (USA), Inc.

Date: 2/28/13
PROPOSED CHARGING LETTER

CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Vantec World Transport (USA), Inc.
991 Francisco Street
Torrance, California 90502

Attention: Christopher Haley
President and Chief Executive Officer

Dear Mr. Haley:

The Bureau of Industry and Security, U.S. Department of Commerce ("BIS"), has reason to believe that Vantec World Transport (USA), Inc. ("Vantec") of Torrance, California, has committed two violations of the Export Administration Regulations (the "Regulations"), which are issued under the authority of the Export Administration Act of 1979, as amended (the "Act"). Specifically, BIS charges that Vantec committed the following violations:

Charges 1-2 15 C.F.R. § 764.2(b): Causing, Aiding, and/or Abetting an Act Prohibited by the Regulations

On two occasions, on or about September 1, 2007, and October 6, 2007, Vantec caused, aided, and/or abetted an act prohibited by the Regulations. Specifically, Vantec, acting as a freight forwarder, arranged for the export of items subject to the Regulations from the United States to the Pakistan Space and Upper Atmosphere Research Commission.

1 Vantec is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Vantec Corporation headquartered in Japan, which in turn is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Hitachi Transport Systems, Ltd., also headquartered in Japan. The violations at issue were committed by Vantec’s Valley Stream, New York branch office.


3 50 U.S.C. app. §§ 2401-2420 (2000). Since August 21, 2001 the Act has been in lapse. However, the President, though Executive Order 13222 of August 17, 2001 (3 C.F.R., 2001 Comp. 783 (2002)), which has been extended by successive Presidential Notices, the most recent being that of August 15, 2012 (77 Fed. Reg. 49699 (Aug. 16, 2012), has continued the Regulations in effect under International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. § 1701 et seq.).
("SUPARCO"), an entity that is listed on BIS's Entity List set forth in Supplement No. 4 to Part 744 of the Regulations. Specifically, on or about September 1, 2007, Vantec arranged for the export of antennae and cables valued at $12,480 and designated as EAR99\(^4\) to SUPARCO. On or about October 6, 2007, Vantec arranged for the export of an atmospheric testing device valued at $191,870 and designated as EAR99 to SUPARCO. SUPARCO was added to the Entity List in November 1998 through a rule published in the Federal Register regarding certain entities in India and Pakistan, including SUPARCO, that were "determined to be involved in nuclear or missile activities.\(^5\) At all times relevant hereto, SUPARCO remained on the Entity List.

Vantec’s actions caused, aided, and/or abetted the export of the items to SUPARCO without the Department of Commerce licenses required pursuant to Section 744.11 and Supplement No. 4 to Part 744 of the Regulations. In so doing, Vantec committed two violations of Section 764.2(b) of the Regulations.

* * * * *

Accordingly, Vantec is hereby notified that an administrative proceeding is instituted against it pursuant to Section 13(c) of the Act and Part 766 of the Regulations for the purpose of obtaining an order imposing administrative sanctions, including any or all of the following:

- The maximum civil penalty allowed by law of up to the greater of $250,000 per violation, or twice the value of the transaction that is the basis of the violation;\(^6\)
- Denial of export privileges; and/or
- Exclusion from practice before BIS.

If Vantec fails to answer the charges contained in this letter within 30 days after being served with notice of issuance of this letter, that failure will be treated as a default. See 15 C.F.R. §§ 766.6 and 766.7 (2012). If Vantec defaults, the Administrative Law Judge may find the charges alleged in this letter to be true without a hearing or further notice to Vantec. The Under Secretary of Commerce for Industry and Security may then impose up to the maximum penalty based on the charges in this letter.

Vantec is hereby notified that it is entitled to an agency hearing on the record if it files a written demand for one with its answer. See 15 C.F.R. § 766.6 (2012). Vantec is also

\(^{4}\) EAR99 is a designation for items subject to the Regulations but not listed on the Commerce Control List. 15 C.F.R. § 734.3(c) (2012).

\(^{5}\) India and Pakistan Sanctions and Other Measures, 63 Fed. Reg. 64,322 (Nov. 19, 1998).

entitled to be represented by counsel or other authorized representative who has power of attorney to represent it. 15 C.F.R. §§ 766.3(a) and 766.4 (2012).

Vantec is further notified that under the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Flexibility Act, Toll Global may be eligible for assistance from the Office of the National Ombudsman of the Small Business Administration in this matter. To determine eligibility and obtain more information, please see: http://www.sba.gov/ombudsman/.

The Regulations provide for settlement without a hearing. See 15 C.F.R. § 766.18 (2011). Should Vantec have a proposal to settle this case, Vantec or its representative should transmit it through the attorney representing BIS, who is named below.

The U.S. Coast Guard is providing administrative law judge services in connection with the matters set forth in this letter. Accordingly, Vantec’s answer must be filed in accordance with the instructions in Section 766.5(a) of the Regulations with:

U.S. Coast Guard ALJ Docketing Center
40 S. Gay Street
Baltimore, Maryland 21202-4022

In addition, a copy of Vantec’s answer must be served on BIS at the following address:

Chief Counsel for Industry and Security
Attention: Parvin R. Huda, Esq.
Room H-3839
United States Department of Commerce
14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20230

Parvin R. Huda is the attorney representing BIS in this case; any communications that Vantec may wish to have concerning this matter should occur through her. Ms. Huda may be contacted by telephone at (202) 482-5301.

Sincerely,

Douglas R. Hassebrock
Director
Office of Export Enforcement